

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

015

JUL 30 2007**REMARKS AND ARGUMENTS**

Claims 13, 15-41, 52-53, and 55-56 were examined in the application. All claims were rejected as being obvious in light of Carcerano (6,308,206), in combination with various other references. Each of the claim rejection relies on the supposition that Carcerano discloses a "media device." The applicant does not agree that the device identified in the Carcerano disclosure, called a "managed network device" discloses a "media device" as contemplated in the pending application. Nevertheless, to advance the prosecution of the application, the pending claims have been amended to recite, specifically, the operation of a "digital video recorder." The applicant believes that the prior art of record does not teach or suggest the operation of a digital video recorder as recited in the claims. Accordingly, the applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the claims as amended.

The applicant further objects to the subject matter as to which the examiner has taken "Official Notice." The "Official Notice" taken by the examiner is improper in that it does not relate to the scope or content of the prior art, but only to the bald assertion that the claimed subject matter is obvious. Reconsideration is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP

July 30, 2007

By: 

Jeffrey A. Steck
Registration No. 40,184